

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/644,411	08/23/2000	Gerald H. Ablan	4A02.1-010	1730
35725	7590 10/04/2003		EXAM	INER
MEHRMAN LAW OFFICE, P.C. ONE PREMIER PLAZA 5605 GLENRIDGE DRIVE, STE. 795			HEWITT II, CALVIN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA,	•		3621	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/644,411	ABLAN, GERALD H.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit /\			
	Calvin L Hewitt II	3621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	<u>ugust 2000</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>i</i> Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		•			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Act	ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 4			

Art Unit: 3621

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-26 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requires of this title.

3. Claims 1-14, 17-22, and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The Applicant's claimed invention does not fall within the technological arts because no form of technology is disclosed or claimed. Claims 1, 17 and 22 do not use any computer or computer related technological, hence, the claimed invention does not promote the progress of science and the useful arts.

Claims 2-14, 18-21 and 24-26 are also rejected as they depend from claims 1, 17 and 22 respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/644,411

Art Unit: 3621

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the auction monitoring site" in line 11.

 Claims 17 and 22 also recite "the auction monitoring site". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claims 2-16, 18-21 and 23-26 are also rejected as they depend from claims 1, 17 and 22 respectively.

7. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the closed auction" in lines 1 and 2. Claims 9-12 also recite "the closed auction". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claims 13 and 14 are also rejected as they depend from claim 12.

8. Claims 15 and 16 provides for the use of a computer apparatus or computer-readable medium, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 15 and 16 are also rejected as the computer-executable instructions and apparatus do not perform all he steps of claim 1 from which they

Art Unit: 3621

depend. In particularly, claim 1 recites a "requesting" step that is performed by a user.

9. Claims 15, 16 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example Ex parte Dunki, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 11. Claims 1-4, 6, 9-12, 14-16, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Rackson et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,415,270.

Art Unit: 3621

As per claims 1-4, 6, 9-12, 14-16, 17 and 20, Rackson et al. teach a method for managing on-line auctions comprising:

- creating an (a plurality of) auction consolidation account(s) (figures
 10, 12, 13 and 14; column 24, lines 5-57)
- receiving a plurality of auction requests in association with the account each to be posted on a respective auction site (figures 10, 12 and 13; column 24, lines 5-57)
- visiting each auction site and posting the corresponding request
 (figures 10, 12, 13 and 14)
- compiling a consolidated auction monitoring report containing information pertaining to each request and updating the report (figure 14; column/line 24/5-25/35; column 26, lines 21-28)
- revisiting each auction site to extract update information (column
 23, lines 30-55; column/line 25/35-26/29)
- receive an auction advertisement text and image, receiving a plurality of predefined auction templates, creating an auction submission by combining the advertisement text and the advertisement image in a format defined by the selected auction template and transmitting the auction submission to the auction site (figures 12, 13 and 14; column 14, lines 45-49; column 24, lines 6-12 and 26-56; column 26, lines, 21-28)

Application/Control Number: 09/644,411 Page 6

Art Unit: 3621

- downloading, identifying and parsing a page (column/line 12/47-13/8; column 23, lines 30-55; column/line 25/35-26/29)
- determining whether the auction did not result in a sale (column 14, lines 8-16; column 17, lines 57-64)
- automatic feedback instructions associated with an account used to transmit data to said account, tracking fields for entering data regarding auctions, recording user input to the auction monitoring report (figures 12-14)
- obtaining auction processing, performing an operation in accordance with said instructions and setting a tracking field to indicate completion of the operation (figures 12-14)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3621

13. Claims 5, 7-9, 13, 19, and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rackson et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,415,270.

As per claims 5 and 7-9, Rackson et al. teach a system where a user can place a bid at multiple auction sites (abstract). Rackson et al. also teach requesting an auction report and manually placing a bid at any time (column/line 25/35-26/29) and a user receiving and processing auction closing data (column/line 16/40-17/58). Rackson et al. disclose online and offline traditional auctions (e.g. E-Bay, Sotheby's) where a bidder monitors an auction and updates his or her bid (column 1, lines 37-45; column 3, lines 40-58; column/line 3/57-5/7; column 6, lines 4-23). Therefore, it would have been obvious for a user to monitor a plurality of auction sites using the system of Rackson et al. and update a bid in order to increase the likelihood that the user will obtain the item.

As per claims 13, 19, and 21, Rackson et al. disclose auction closing (column/line 16/40-17/58). Rackson et al. also teach arranging for shipment and payment for an item (column 18, lines 11-17; column 19, lines 49-58). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to delay shipping until it can be determined that the buyer can actually make payments and to automate the process using computers (for tracking receivables and accounts) (e.g. E-Bay, Sotheby's) (column 1, lines 37-45; column 3, lines 40-58; column/line 3/57-5/7).

As per claims 22-26, Rackson et al. teach an auction system utilizing computer instructions and automation tools comprising: creating a user account,

Application/Control Number: 09/644,411 Page 8

Art Unit: 3621

presenting the user items to be auctioned, presenting using an intelligent system to retrieve items that match a user's criteria- automatic feedback, a user bidding on an item, a consolidation bidding report that reflects that user bidding activity across multiple stations, monitoring bids, closing an auction, post sale and payment operations (abstract; figures 12-14; column 1, lines 37-45; column 3, lines 40-58; column/line 3/57-5/7; column/line 16/40-17/58; column 18, lines 11-17; column 19, lines 49-58). Regarding the extraction of closing data, it would have been obvious for a user to obtain this data automatically or by periodically visiting the site (e.g. E-Bay, Sotheby's) (abstract; figures 1-3, 10 and 11).

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - Godin et al. teach a computer auction system
 - Harrington et al. teach conduction auctions across electronic networks
 - Shkedy teaches consolidating bids and accounts
 - Buck et al. teach users bidding across multiple sites and an account for tracking said bids

Art Unit: 3621

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Loyd Hewitt II whose telephone number is (703) 308-8057. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell, can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

c/o Technology Center 2100

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 (for formal communications intended for entry and after-final communications),

or:

(703) 746-5532 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, 7th Floor Receptionist.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Art Unit: 3621

Page 10

Calvin Loyd Hewitt II

September 25, 2003

JAMES P. TRAMMEDE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3300